A Broadband Electromagnetic Homogenization Method for Composite Materials
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This paper focuses on broadband electromagnetic (EM) modeling of composite materials. A homogenization method is carried out by combining finite element calculations with a numerical inversion technique: first, the transmission and reflection coefficients of the composite plate are computed over a large frequency band (up to 50 GHz) using a 3-D finite-element method. Then an inversion technique is applied in order to extract EM properties. This approach extends the application domain of classical homogenization methods by enabling the modeling of different types of composites.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The automotive industry is increasingly replacing metal alloys with composite materials due to their appealing mechanical properties. These structures offer similar robustness, while having a significantly lighter weight. In order to benefit from these characteristics for electromagnetic (EM) shielding applications, e.g., isolate vehicle electronics from external waves, proper models defining the behavior of such materials should be established. However, because composites are essentially heterogeneous materials, there exists a contrast in EM properties between the matrix (that holds the material together) and the inclusion particles (fibers, ellipsoids, and spheres) that constitute them. Each phase has a different EM response; this makes the composite’s general behavior complicated to model, thus explaining the need for homogenization methods that can describe their overall EM response, by defining a—fictitious—homogeneous medium that shows an identical behavior when illuminated by an EM wave at a given frequency.

Several analytical mixing rules have been developed (a comparative study is presented in [1]), but their application domain is restricted to static excitations and low fiber volume ratio. While the Maxwell-Garnett (MG) method can be extended for biphasic materials when the inclusion size is smaller than the incident wavelength, it still does not provide an accurate estimation of their effective properties at relatively high frequencies. As for woven fiber reinforcements, the work in the literature has relied on the periodicity that they present in order to use numerical method and therefore extract the material’s shielding efficiency as done in [2] using a rigorous coupled wave analysis technique. Moreover, in [3] and [4], multiple experimental methods are used to measure the S-parameters of a composite plate which can lead to explain the overall behavior of the material.

In this paper, we focus on composites made from conductive fibers and dielectric matrix. The homogenization method we present can be used to model various types of inclusions, woven fibers in particular, by combining a finite-element method (FEM) approach with an inversion technique. First, the theory behind this paper is presented: the model used for the simulations is described as well as the adopted inversion method. Then, the implementation results are analyzed and a conclusion is drawn.

II. HOMOGENIZATION METHOD

A. 3-D FEM Model

The first step to calculating the effective EM properties is to get the transmission coefficient of the composite plate in the frequency band of interest.

One of the main goals is to consider different shapes of inclusions, so a finite element software was adopted as a simulation tool. The 2-D model described in [5] to calculate the shielding effectiveness of composites is expanded into a 3-D model. A composite slab located in air is illuminated with an electric field of normal incidence. Fig. 1 shows the computational domain with cylindrical inclusions that can be replaced with any other geometry, thus making this model as an adaptable one.

In the interest of accurately modeling the structure, the chosen volume element should be large enough to represent its overall response while maintaining a reasonable simulation time. The composite plate is surrounded by air. Neumann boundary conditions are applied to the appropriate surfaces, and perfectly matched layers are added to simulate infinite domains. Maxwell’s equations are solved in frequency domain for the electric field: $\vec{E}(x, y, z)$. In our case we treat materials of a negligible absorption ratio. This means that all the information about their properties is fully conveyed by either the transmission or the reflection coefficient. Therefore using any of them should lead to the same results. Here, we choose to
work with the former. The shielding effectiveness (SE) is then extracted by calculating the S-parameters of the composite plate as follows:

\[
SE_{\text{SIM}(dB)} = -S_{21}(dB) = 20 \log_{10} \left( \frac{|\vec{E}_{\text{incident}}|}{|\vec{E}_{\text{transmitted}}|} \right). \tag{1}
\]

### B. Retrieving EM Properties From S-Parameters

Having numerically calculated the shielding effectiveness of our heterogeneous medium, the aim now is to define the EM properties of a homogeneous plate that would have an identical response. To do so, we first define the theoretical \( SE_{\text{theo}} \) of such homogeneous plate as a function of its thickness \( l \), the propagation constant \( k \), the refractive index \( n \), as well as its properties: permittivity \( \varepsilon \) and permeability \( \mu \) \( (2) \) and \( (3) \).

Such expressions are defined for a normally incident EM wave. This assumption will be used throughout this paper. However, treating a case of oblique incidence is possible, though requires defining the transmittance as a function of the angle of incidence, wave polarization, and complex material impedance (Fresnel’s equations). In practical applications involving shielding boxes, the orientation of the incident wave relative to the different walls of the 3-D structure is not known. Considering the normal incidence case is an approximation which is generally sufficient to obtain the magnitude of the shielding effectiveness of the enclosure

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{SE}_{\text{theo}} &= e^{jkl}(1 - q e^{-2jkl})/p \tag{2} \\
q &= \left( \frac{n/\mu_r - 1}{n/\mu_r + 1} \right)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad p = \frac{4n/\mu_r}{(n/\mu_r + 1)^2} \tag{3} \\
F_c &= |SE_{\text{SIM}} - SE_{\text{theo}}(\varepsilon^+, \mu^+)| \\
&= |SE_{\text{SIM}} - e^{j\sqrt{\varepsilon_0 \mu_0 \omega^2 - j \mu_0 \sigma_0}} \cdot (1 - q e^{-2j\sqrt{\varepsilon_0 \mu_0 \omega^2 - j \mu_0 \sigma_0}})/p|. \tag{4}
\end{align*}
\]

The sought effective properties are the solution to an optimization problem, because they bring to a minimum the distance between the SE of the composite plate and that of an equivalent homogeneous one of the same thickness \( (4) \).

In the following, we are interested in composites exhibiting a high contrast in conductivity, such as dielectric matrices and carbon based fibers, thus limiting the cost function \( F_c \) to one effective property. The optimization method must be adapted to the cost function at hand. Since its variations might be highly nonlinear at certain intervals and the presence of multiple local minima is not eliminated, genetic algorithms (GAs) are known to best handle these types of conditions for minimization problems. However, while they offer the above listed advantages, their convergence is generally slow around the global optimum \( [6] \). This leads to the combination of a deterministic algorithm with the GA in order to achieve a faster, more accurate convergence once in the neighborhood of the global minimum. The GA is based on an iterative process, a detailed explanation of which can be found in \([7]\). Once a stopping criterion is reached, the estimated intermediate solution is considered to be a starting point for the quasi-Newton method, which will compute the final value of the effective EM property. The values for the different parameters used in these algorithms are described in Section III.

### III. Modeling Results

#### A. Composite Plate With Unidirectional Cylindrical Inclusions

The FEM model detailed in Section II-A was applied to a \( l = 6 \) mm thick composite plate with 30 rows of cylindrical inclusions having a 25 \( \mu \)m radius each and occupying a volume fraction of \( f_0 = 19.63\% \). The geometry is meshed using triangular prismatic elements for the inclusions and regular tetrahedral elements for the surroundings. A contrast in conductivity between the matrix and the reinforcement is considered, Table I specifies the EM properties of each phase.

The incident wave is propagating along the \( z \)-axis perpendicular to the fibers, according to \( (5) \), where \( k_0 \) is the propagation constant and \( u_x \) is the unit vector of the \( x \)-axis

\[
E_{\text{inc}} = E \cdot e^{j(\omega t - k_0 z)} u_x. \tag{5}
\]

The inversion technique described in Section II-B is now used to compute the effective conductivity of the plate. At first, the GA computes an initial estimate using a population size of 50 candidates at each iteration. The crossover probability is set to 0.8 and the mutation rate decreases as the algorithm approaches the solution. The algorithm stops once the difference between the present best fitness function value and the previous one becomes less than 0.2. The quasi-Newton algorithm then takes over and computes the minimum of the cost function to a precision of \( 10^{-6} \). Fig. 2 shows the results

---

**TABLE I**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Relative Permittivity</th>
<th>Conductivity ( \sigma ) [S/m]</th>
<th>Relative Permeability ( \mu_r )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>( \varepsilon_m = 1 )</td>
<td>( \sigma_m = 0 )</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclusions</td>
<td>( \varepsilon_f = 1 )</td>
<td>( \sigma_f = {10^6; 4 \times 10^6; 10^4} )</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
which demonstrate a behavior similar to that of the shielding efficiency in Fig. 3.

The low inclusion volume percentage considered in this example model makes it possible to conduct a comparative study in order to place this technique with respect to the classical mixing rules, as well as other homogenization methods. Two models are considered in the following.

1) The formula developed by MG and applied mostly to biphasic materials in the case of quasi-static excitations as follows:

\[
\varepsilon_{MG}^* = \varepsilon_m^* + \frac{3 \cdot f_d \cdot (\varepsilon_m^* - \varepsilon_f^*)}{\varepsilon_m^* + 2 \cdot \varepsilon_f^*}.
\]

(6)

2) The dynamic homogenization method (DHM) developed in [5] and adapted to model composites at high frequencies by introducing an inclusion size/wavelength ratio into the calculation of effective properties thus accounting for the interaction between the incident wave and the material.

The results for \(\sigma_m = 0S/m\) and \(\sigma_f = 10^4 S/m\) (Fig. 4) show that the MG model is not convenient for microwave frequencies, because it underestimates the effective conductivity of the composite.

B. Electromagnetic Behavior of Multilayered Composites

In this part, we investigate the shielding effectiveness of a composite plate having two consecutive layers of unidirectional fibers distributed in perpendicular directions (Fig. 5). The same FEM model is applied to each layer and a symmetrical S-parameter matrix is derived from the calculations. A global shielding coefficient is then derived by cascading the transmission matrices (computed from the scattering parameters as demonstrated in [8]).

This model gives an idea of the shielding effectiveness’ order of magnitude for woven composites with 90\(^\circ\) weaving angles. The incident electric wave is x-polarized (5) and the different geometrical dimensions and EM properties are detailed in Table II. Fig. 6 shows the shielding effectiveness for each of the layers separately as well as the global SE (for a fiber conductivity \(\sigma_f = 10^3 S/m\)).

The major part of the shielding seems to be ensured by the fibers that are parallel to the polarization of the electric field. As for the oscillations at high frequencies, Fig. 6 shows that they do not follow the same pattern as the transmission coefficient \(S_{21}\).
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**TABLE II**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EM Property / Dimension</th>
<th>Symbol (Unit)</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relative permittivity</td>
<td>ε_εε, ε_εf</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative permeability</td>
<td>μ_με, μ_μf</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix conductivity</td>
<td>σ_σ_m (S/m)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber conductivity</td>
<td>σ_σ_f (S/m)</td>
<td>(5.10^2; 10^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (for the entire plate)</td>
<td>l_ε (mm)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-major axis</td>
<td>b (mm)</td>
<td>0.625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-minor axis</td>
<td>a (mm)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber volume ratio</td>
<td>f_ε (%)</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 6. Shielding effectiveness of a two-layer composite plate with a 10^3 S/m contrast between the fibers and the matrices.

Fig. 7. Effective conductivities for two case studies with different contrasts in conductivity between the fibers and the matrices.

This is because they are also influenced by the reflection parameters S_11 and S_22. These coefficients are integrated within the calculation of the global SE through the scattering matrices and show a higher level of oscillation, thus explaining the variations represented here.

To homogenize the multilayer plate, the optimization algorithm in Section II-B is applied to two plates with different contrasts in conductivity. Fig. 7 shows that while the effect of having more conductive fibers is clear at low frequencies, the skin effect tends to minimize these differences as the frequency gets higher.

**IV. Conclusion**

In this paper, a broadband homogenization method is proposed to extract the effective conductivity of composite plates. This effective conductivity is the value which, applied to a homogeneous plate, gives the same transmission coefficient as that of the composite plate. The approach has been validated with cylindrical fibers. The effect of the direction of the fibers with respect to the incident field has also been studied. This paper answers to the limits of classical quasi-static mixing rules that are restricted to composites with low volume fractions at relatively low frequencies. The results have also been compared to dynamic homogenization techniques recently proposed. Such homogenization techniques validated for planar shields are needed to evaluate the shielding effectiveness of enclosures with complex shapes made from composite materials and for which brute force 3-D computations using full-wave solvers is not possible. Woven composites will be the main challenge addressed in the future.

**APPENDIX**

**A. Dynamic Homogenization Method**

The tensor of effective complex permittivity ε_DH of a multiphasic composite material is calculated in [5] by adapting the homogenization technique with inclusion problems to dynamic conditions. This is done using the following averaging:

\[
\epsilon_{DH} = \frac{\langle \epsilon_i \cdot (1 + N_i \cdot \epsilon_\infty^{-1} \cdot (\epsilon_i - \epsilon_\infty))^{-1} \rangle}{\langle (1 + N_i \cdot \epsilon_\infty^{-1} \cdot (\epsilon_i - \epsilon_\infty))^{-1} \rangle^{-1}} \tag{7}
\]

where

- \( \epsilon_i \) is the permittivity of the phase I;
- \( N_i \) is the depolarization tensor of the phase I;
- \( \epsilon_\infty \) is the infinite medium permittivity.
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